

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/769,511	01/25/2001	Bjorn Markus Jakobsson	31	6106
7.	7590 08/11/2005		EXAMINER	
•	& Lewis, LLP		NELSON, FREDA ANN	
90 Forest Aven Locust Valley,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3639	
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/769,511	JAKOBSSON, BJORN MARKUS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Freda A. Nelson	3639				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	ly 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-6 and 8-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 2-6 and 8-19 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date:	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa					

Application/Control Number: 09/769,511 Page 2

Art Unit: 3639

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This is in response to a communication filed July 20, 2005 wherein:

Claims 2-6, 8-11, and 13-19 have been amended;

Claims 1 and 7 have been canceled;

No claims have been added; and

Claims 2-6 and 8-19 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 2-5, 8-12, and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greene (Patent Number 5,568,541) in view of Lynch-Aird (Patent Number 6,240,402) in further view of Chang et al. (Patent Number 5,958,016).
- 2. In claims 3-4, 8-9, and 16-18, Greene discloses that when answered, the telephone number of the calling party is identified electronically in step 6 and compared to the subscriber's database in a data processing system as indicated by box 8 (col. 3, lines 39-44). Greene discloses that the subscriber's specified call billing parameters are then incorporated into a standard Automatic Message Accounting record which is generated by the carrier switch network for each call and sent to the billing system which processes the charges for inclusion in the network bill sent to the caller and credits a portion of those charges to the account of the person called (col. 2, lines 61-67).

Greene does not disclose that the user-specified access cost information includes one or more access rules specified by the user and indicates a particular access cost for an incoming call under one or more specified conditions. Greene et al.

Art Unit: 3639

does not further disclose that the user-specified access cost information is at least in part entered by the user at a web site associated with a service provider.

Lynch-Aird discloses that charging information can be maintained by the network operator in a suitable charging table in which an entry is kept against each allocated recipient identifier indicating the charging scheme associated with the recipient identifier wherein for example, a first unique recipient identifier RID.sub.1 is allocated to recipient R.sub.A and designates that the originator of the call be charged (col. 5, lines 27-33; FIG. 4). Lynch-Aird further discloses that the charges are determined on the basis of any known system, for example a fixed charged per packet, the charge based on the duration of the packet, a charge based on the distance of the call, the time of the day, the packet type and so forth (col. 6, lines 10-14).

Chang et al. discloses a web page type which enables subscriber access to control and reporting functionalities of a communication network, such as the advanced intelligent telephone network, via a public packet data network, typically the network now commonly known as the Internet; and the web page based Internet access opens the personal control of services provided by the communication network to any subscriber who also uses the Internet, for example using the subscriber's existing PC and browser software or their Web-TV terminal (abstract). Chang et al. further disclose that through the web page access provided by the platform, telephone subscribers can review the status of their services and modify or upgrade their telephone services, including switch-based services and intelligent network services controlled through the data stored in the service control point. Subscribers also may review reports of usage of their services or review account or billing statements (col. 6, lines 23-29).

Therefore, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Greene to include the feature of Lynch-Aird and Chang et al. in order to provide recipient identifiers to indicate that the corresponding customer received the call and also to determine which party pays (Lynch-Aird; col. 6, lines 17-35).

- 3. In claims 2 and 10, Greene discloses that if the number of the calling party is not on the list of pre-approved number, the call is identified as a <u>telephone solicitation</u> in step 8, and the automated answering system advises the person making the call that a surcharge may or will (at the subscriber's option) be added to their as indicated at box 10. The caller then has the opportunity at step 12 to continue the call and accept the surcharge (col. 3, lines 46-54).
- 4. In claims 5 and 15, Greene discloses the method and system allows the subscriber to program the system to include a plurality of telephone numbers which will automatically bypass the billing portion of the system (col. 2, lines 18-22).

Greene does not disclose that user-specified access control information is entered by the user at the user terminal via a menu-driven user interface. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that a menu-driven user interface was an old and well-known type of user interface in the computer art. It would

Application/Control Number: 09/769,511 Page 4

Art Unit: 3639

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide user friendly menus for the users to input data.

5. In claim 11, Greene discloses that the subscriber has an option in step 13 to void or waive the surcharge as for example by, pressing one or more keys on his telephone key pad (col. 3, lines 64-67).

- 6. In claim 12, Greene discloses that if the subscriber is sympathetic to a particular charity or solicitation, he or she may void the surcharge at any time during the conversation by causing the call to bypass the billing and crediting functions as shown in steps 14 and 16 (col. 3, lines 67 through col. 4, line4).
- In claim 19, Greene discloses that when answered, the telephone number of the calling party is identified electronically in step 6 and compared to the subscriber's database in a data processing system as indicated by box 8 (col. 3, lines 39-44). Greene discloses that the subscriber's specified call billing parameters are then incorporated into a standard Automatic Message Accounting record which is generated by the carrier switch network for each call and sent to the billing system which processes the charges for inclusion in the network bill sent to the caller and credits a portion of those charges to the account of the person called (col. 2, lines 61-67).
- 8. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greene (Patent Number 5,568,541) in view of Lynch-Aird (Patent Number 6,240,402) in further view of Chang et al. (Patent Number 5,958,016), still in further view of Harrison (Patent Number 6,595,424).
- 9. In claim 6, Greene does not disclose that the user terminal includes a personal digital assistant. Harrison discloses a device which provides a wireless telephone (col. 3, lines 65-67). Harrison further discloses that this type of armpiece is particularly well-suited for use with pre-existing PDA's and handheld computers. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Greene to include the device of Harrison to provide a more convenient or portable user terminal.
- 10. Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greene (Patent Number 5,568,541) in view of Lynch-Aird (Patent Number 6,240,402) in further view of Chang et al. (Patent Number 5,958,016) in further view of Harrison

Application/Control Number: 09/769,511 Page 5

Art Unit: 3639

(Patent Number 6,595,424), still in further view of Haralambopoulos et al. (Patent Number 5,148,474).

11. In claims 13-14, Greene does not disclose that the user specified access control information comprises a caller-specific access cost, a caller-specific access rule, general access cost, or a general access rule. Haralambopoulos et al. disclose that the service provider (called party) has a plurality of individual value-added telephone numbers with each representing a different billing rate to reflect the services rendered (col. 5, lines 48-52). Haralambopoulos et al. further disclose that in addition to time related billing rates, the service provider (called party) may have numbers which enable a single item charge, for instance, if the service provider is a doctor, he may have three different time dependent billing rates for clients depending on the types of information required and a single use rate for a prescription renewal (col. 5, lines 52-61).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the system of Green to include the system Haralambopoulos et al. in order to store the caller-specified costs and rules in database associated with the user terminal to provide the user the convenience to modify or change charges and rules.

## Conclusion

- 12. The examiner has cited prior art of interest, for example:
- 1) MacFarlane et al. (Patent Number 6,125,354), which discloses a system and method for generating an invoice to rebill charges to the elements of an organization.
- 2) Albers et al. (Patent Number 6,636,504), which discloses a reverse billing of Internet telephone calls.
- 3) "BT: Genie becomes the UK's first exclusively online mobile service; Genie offers unlimited mobile Internet access for GPB20 a month", Nov 16, 2000, M2

  Presswire, pg. 1.

Application/Control Number: 09/769,511

Art Unit: 3639

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Freda A. Nelson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7076. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-6708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 6

FAN 07/29/2005